Kathmandu School of Law Review (KSLR), Volume 13, 2024, pp 104-116 https://doi.org/10.46985/kslr.v13i1.2236 © KSLR, 2024

Exploring Justice: From Aspiration to Achievement: A Conversation with Justice Ananda Mohan Bhattarai

Interviewer Prajwol Bickram Rana*

Interviewer	:	Namaste, <i>Shriman.</i> First of all, thank you so much for accepting our request to be a part of this initiative by the Kathmandu School of Law Review, where we engage with esteemed professors and experts in the legal field. The objective of this initiative is primarily to inspire and motivate law students through sharing the journey and experiences of accomplished professionals like yourself. I hope you are doing well.
Justice Bhattarai	:	Thank You, thank you for coming over to me and for your interest in my journey and my work.
Interviewer	:	Thank you, <i>Shriman</i> . After more than 3 decades of distinguished service in the judiciary, you have recently retired from the Supreme Court. So, how is your retirement life going on?
Justice Bhattarai	:	It's going fine, I am trying to resettle and relocate myself to the academic side of my life.
Interviewer	:	Let's begin with your early career and life history. Has there been any key moments that you reminisce during your upbringing that inspired you to join the field of law?
Justice Bhattarai	:	In fact, by and large, it has been accidental for me to come to the legal field. But if I were to recollect my early years, I was born and brought up in a family of political dissidents. Ever since I became aware of my surroundings, my father was not at home; he was in political exile. When he came back after amnesty in 1969 (B.S.), it was the first time I had seen my father. Slowly and gradually, the notions of democracy, rule of law, people's rights, and all these things were being discussed at our home. As the youngest child of my family, my parents and elder siblings were keen to enroll me in the science faculty. I did not know what to do, but
		when I started my studies at the intermediate level in science. I realized

^{*} Assisted by Susmit Khanal and Spandan Shrestha for interview, *Exploring Justice: From Aspiration to Achievement_A conversation with Justice Ananda Mohan Bhattarai*, Ananda Mohan Bhattarai, Former Justice, Supreme Court of Nepal, Residence of Justice Ananda Mohan Bhattarai, Tinkune, Kathmandu, 9th September 2024.

Volume 13, 2024			Kathmandu School of Law Review
		philosophical. So, slowl	he subject of my interest. My faculty was more by and gradually, I started developing an interest because of my family's inspiration and partly as not good for me.
Interviewer	:	journey. If we see, you	<i>nan.</i> You have had an interdisciplinary academic have studied Law, Political Science and English your diverse education shaped your understanding ophy.
Justice Bhattarai	:	at a vernacular school compared to my peers.	rest in English literature. Even though I studied in Pokhara, my English was relatively good Perhaps because of that, I developed an interest fter graduating in law, I decided to study English Science.
		part of the judiciary, I which was available bac under that plan. While work on improving my English Literature becau	ong desire to pursue further studies. Since I was was waiting for the Colombo Plan Fellowship, k then. There was a single quota for the judiciary waiting for the fellowship, I thought I should yself. So, I chose to study Political Science and use I knew English would become quintessential eer. This motivation drove me to enhance my tring that time.
Interviewer	:	Humphrey Fellowship	t of international fellowships like the Hubert H. and Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship. So, national exposure for the law fraternity in Nepal? ut this?
Justice Bhattarai	:	Humboldt Fellowship, I Doctorate studies in In Court, I got to study in a growing institution, a	ert H. Humphrey Fellowship and Alexander von let me tell you my journey regarding L.L.M. and idia. After 12 years of my work at the Supreme in India. At that time, National Law School was and as a Colombo Plan Fellow, I was offered a ity as well. But I chose Bangalore, and I now ade the right decision.
		institutions, it was also raised and the institution in the institution's history boost for me. Upon raised boost for me.	ization, and later, when India started rating its anked first. With 12 years of work experience and nd in English Literature and Political Science, I by studies at the National Law School. I graduated ny L.L.M. program, achieving the highest score ory. This turned out to be a significant academic returning, I began working at the Kathmandu came to know about the Hubert H. Humphrey senior colleague, Dr. Tripathi.
			rt H. Humphrey Fellowship and received an 'A' hich led to an opportunity to study at MIT. This

was during the academic year 2002–2003, and it turned out to be an incredibly valuable experience for me. Initially, I had some hesitation about going to MIT, so I went to the Fulbright Commission and had a discussion with Michael Gill, who was the Chief of the Fulbright Commission in Nepal at the time.

I expressed my desire to attend law school, but he encouraged me to branch out. He later revealed that he himself was a lawyer and, had he been given the opportunity, he would have greatly enjoyed studying at MIT. His words convinced me, and I decided to go to MIT.

MIT and Harvard had a credit transfer facility, and at that time, they were only two stations apart. So, besides taking mandatory courses for the Hubert H. Humphrey Fellowship at MIT, I could also go and audit classes at Harvard Law School. I took four or five courses at Harvard Law School and one course at the Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy.

At one point, I considered pursuing another Ph.D. in the U.S. It is common for people attending such prestigious institutions to develop that kind of ambition. While working on my proposal, I approached my professor at MIT. However, he advised me against spending another four to five years on a second Ph.D., suggesting it would be a waste of time. Instead, he recommended I pursue a postdoctoral opportunity.

This led me to apply for the Humboldt Fellowship at the Max Planck Institute in Heidelberg. I was fortunate to receive the fellowship and had the chance to work at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law, a world-class institution in Germany. This was during the 2005–2006 academic year.

One of the unique benefits of the Humboldt Fellowship is that once you become a Humboldtian, you are considered one for life. After spending about fourteen months there in 2005, I returned. The fellowship provides grants of three to five months to continue studies in areas where there is a logical progression of your work. Because of this, I returned to the Max Planck Institute in 2008, 2010, 2012, and 2018, each time exploring different areas of law.

During my first visit, I spent a longer duration working on the protection of Himalayan biodiversity, which later came out in the form of a book published in 2010. This research took me a full year plus an additional three months. When I went there again in 2012, Nepal was experimenting with the Constitution, so I started researching the structure of the judiciary that Nepal should have. This is how my ongoing visits to the Max Planck Institute for further studies have continued.

Interviewer : Now, moving towards your career. During your initial phase as a bench officer at judiciary, you have shared in many other interviews as well that you had experiences working with prominent Chief Justices of that time. How do you view their approaches to resolving legal disputes, and

Volume 13, 2024			Kathmandu School of Law Review
		how have these change	d during your tenure as a judge?
Justice Bhattarai	:	You see, when you ask me this question, I remember working with Chief Justice Nayan Bahadur Khatri. He was a wonderful person, very learned and hardworking. More than that, he had a unique style of making people work. He used to jot down points in his notebook and ask us to elaborate. As a bench officer; we were required to make a summary presentation of the case. That required a lot of hard work, but it was equally joyful.	
		after which he was su Singh. Chief Justice S writing short yet impact to adapt to the vision a we work with because but for them. I had to sentences they liked, th	stice Nayan Bahadur Khatri for around 6-7 years, cceeded by Chief Justice Dhanendra Bahadur ingh was a very sharp individual, known for ctful sentences. As bench officers, it is essential nd working style of the Chief Justices or judges the decisions we wrote were not for ourselves, o understand their preferences—what kinds of eir usual expressions, and how they approached gave me a lot of opportunity to develop my legal ng.
		tenure for any bench o	bench officer for 8 years, which was the longest fficer at the time. Down the line when I started experience helped me a lot.
Interviewer	:		you see between your tenure as a Judge and the vhile Judges you worked with during that time?
Justice Bhattarai	:	However, when you loo role as a judge is differ independence of the ju- of judicial review had	t regular cases, there is no notable difference. k at the legal and constitutional framework, your ent. For example, during those earlier days, the diciary was not firmly established, and the power not been granted to the court. Additionally, the idges was not very strong.
		Court, there were certa Singh was asked to re- transferred to the Law C on our democratic pat secured through the fra positive impact in term should say that our resp	ere sacked while I was working at the Supreme in events. For instance, Justice Prakash Bahadur esign, and Justice Bishwanath Upadhyaya was Commission. Since the 1990s, when we embarked th and the independence of the judiciary was mework of the Constitution, it has made a very as of the role and responsibilities of judges. I ponsibilities expanded as the final interpreter and itution, and so the role changed.
Interviewer	:	generation face during Based on your experien	or constraints did you and your contemporary your initial years as young legal professionals? ce, what words of wisdom would you give to the professionals or law students pursuing their legal

Justice Bhattarai	:	I welcome new talents to the judiciary because the constitutional provisions regarding judgeship, especially at the District Court level, are well-structured. Now, competent officers have gotten through the district judge examination, and their numbers are going to increase. So, if a person becomes a judge in their early thirties, they have a tenure of 30 to 35 years to serve as a judge.
		I became a district judge at the age of 34, and I believe that if you start at that age, nothing can stop you from eventually reaching the Supreme Court because of the long tenure ahead. The charm of being a judge lies in the complete autonomy you have. If you remain independent and resist external influences, no one can harm you. As a judge, if you are truthful and dedicated to the responsibilities entrusted to you, you can truly enjoy the role.
		Compared to my time, the facilities for judges have improved. However, if you compare the emoluments and benefits with those of judges in India or Pakistan, they are relatively less. That said, you must also consider the local context. If you are willing to live a spartan life, it is okay. There will not be too much, but it will not be too little either. The real beauty of the system is the autonomy it provides to use your creativity and shape your journey as a judge. There is ample opportunity for growth, whether at the High Court or the Supreme Court. The working flexibility and potential for personal and professional development make this judicial system very rewarding.
Interviewer	:	You have been involved in numerous landmark cases, so what has been the most challenging aspect of serving in the judiciary for such an extended period, and which of your ruling do you believe will have the most lasting impact on Nepal's legal system?
Justice Bhattarai	:	Oh, I don't know! I leave it to the law students, but I am happy to tell you that some of my decisions are closely studied and reviewed by law students. I feel that I am surviving in the memory of young talents. Every generation has talents; it's not that our generation was talented, and this generation is not. Also, the present generation is well-equipped with the boons of science and technology and has more access to legal resources. So, your evaluation and analysis will tell me about the work that I have done which will have a lasting impact.
Interviewer	:	Thank you, <i>Shriman</i> . You have cited philosophers in your writings and judgement like Amartya Sen and Jacques Derrida. How do you integrate their ideas into your legal reasonings, particularly in social justice and human rights cases?
Justice Bhattarai	:	I started following Jacques Derrida during my study of English literature when I was doing my Master's in English Literature. At that time, there was a course called Literary Theory, where we had to study concepts like structuralism, post-structuralism, and reader-response theory. I am

not sure if it is still part of the curriculum, but during my time, literary theory was essentially the philosophy of literature. This background later became relevant when I started reading jurisprudence at the Master's level. Derrida was particularly referred to during the study of jurisprudence, especially in areas connected to the Critical Legal Studies movement where he talks about the ideas of deconstruction and the bottom-up approach. In India, when we studied Law, Society, and Development, we also engaged with the nuances of development economics, which brought us to thinkers like Amartya Sen and Mahbub ul Haq, who is from Pakistan.

These individuals made significant contributions to the study of development. For example, they challenged the calorie-intake approach to measuring poverty. Around 1989 or 1990, the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) began measuring development using frameworks propagated by these two scholars. Later, Sen's books, such as The Idea of Justice and Development as Freedom, really influenced me, encouraging me to view law and jurisprudence from a different perspective. I remember being particularly drawn to Amartya Sen because, as a student, I witnessed him receiving the Nobel Prize in Economics. Another point of connection was that he was from India, and he occasionally taught at the Delhi School of Economics. His close grip of the Indian situation, which had so much salience in the study of Nepal's situation attracted me to his work.

- Interviewer : Reflecting on your tenure as a judge, you have contributed to all subject matters of law. However, your scholarly writings and landmark judgments depict your strong inclination towards environmental justice. What sparked your deep interest in environmental law, particularly within the context of Nepal's unique geographical and ecological challenges?
- Justice Bhattarai : This is a very interesting question! Let me take you back to my time in law school. When I started my studies at the National Law School, the Master's program offered was an LLM in Business Law. This was the general course of study, but environmental law was emerging as a significant area, and I found myself drawn to it.

At the time, however, environmental law had an urban bias. Environmental law revolved around issues like vehicular pollution, noise pollution, water pollution, and similar topics. But Nepal, with its predominantly rural landscape, faced different environmental challenges. For instance, I vividly remember my mother and sisters enduring the impacts of indoor pollution caused by cooking inside the main house with firewood. It was a common practice in rural Nepali households that had created significant in-house pollution.

Water-borne diseases were another major issue. I recall losing some of my close relatives to dysentery, deaths that could have been prevented with access to proper medicine. On a positive note, community forestry initiatives were gaining popularity in Nepal, which highlighted the unique environmental concerns of the region. At the same time, there were debates around the construction of high dams, such as the Karnali Project, which was planned to be a high time project. During those years, India also faced controversies surrounding high dams, which further drew my attention to such issues. These experiences and observations deepened my interest in environmental law and drew me to the protection of the Himalayan biodiversity and the preservation of the Himalayan ecosystem. This is how I got attracted to environmental law and my exposure to this subject enabled me to have an in-depth study of the challenges and contribute to the discourse through my writing and studies in this area.

- Interviewer : Nepal has set landmark precedents in environmental justice, such as the excavation in the *Churia* Hills, which you referred to as ecocide. How do you see Nepal's role evolving on the global stage regarding environmental law and climate change?
- Justice Bhattarai Thank you for referring the *Chure* Case. *Chure* case is closely tied to the life and livelihood of the Nepali people and we have tried our best to save *Chure*. The issues of environmental and climate justice are evolving in such a way that many issues, which were considered local a decade ago, have now become regional or global issues. For example, forests were previously regarded as a local issue. Climate change has had a severe impact in the forest areas, so, it is no more a local issue. The greenhouse gases emitted by forest fires have turned this to becoming a global concern. I am pleased to note that the International Court of Justice is now considering a matter related to climate justice and is working on issuing an advisory opinion. I am also happy to hear that Nepali youth have taken the initiative to make a written submission to the World Court. I request Nepal Government to make timely decision on Nepal's participation and raise the voice in World Court about Nepal. Unless it is done, mountains and the Himalavan system do not get priority. Mountains are in the periphery of the discourse on climate justice and climate change. Nepal must put this agenda to the world forum. It is a good opportunity and we should not miss it. I am hopeful that Nepal Government will take a timely decision regarding this. I really thank young lawyers who have taken lead on this subject. While deciding who should represent Nepal at the ICI, age should not be a consideration rather exposure, energy and the commitment to work should be the consideration. Therefore, young lawyers should also be given an opportunity in representing the country at the ICJ.
- Interviewer : I would also like to mention the role Nepal is playing in the COP negotiations, such as raising the issue of mountains. As you mentioned, the mountains issue has not been given enough priority on the international platform. How do you see Nepal's role in other global platforms where it can play a major role by raising the issue of mountains?

Volume 13, 2024			Kathmandu School of Law Review
Justice Bhattarai	:	Before that, the Secreta He could see for himsel I remember correctly, h the Nepali people, the This was, in a sense, his at COP28. This is a va should continue to press the environmental degra addressed with lip servit as compensation, repara	significant role in the COP 28 Dubai Conference. ry General of the United Nations visited Nepal. f what is happening in the Nepali mountains. If e said it was a gross injustice committed against Nepali mountains, and the Nepali environment. message. Nepal also hosted a program in Dubai luable effort that Nepal has undertaken. Nepal ent itself and the Himalayas in such fora so that idation happening in the mountains is not merely ce. Instead, tangible actions should follow, such tions, or recognition for the contributions Nepal gits forests. Nepal deserves to be reimbursed by This is what I expect.
Interviewer	:	pursuing careers in env for us? Often, discussio are viewed as the doma	, some young lawyers like us are also thinking of ironmental law. What suggestions do you have ns about environmental issues or climate change in of environmental scientists or climate change think lawyers can contribute to environmental
Justice Bhattarai	:	change and climate just that when examining is discourse is undoubted lawyers join the conver These scientific princip	once organized a regional dialogue on climate tice. I participated in the dialogue, where I said sues like biodiversity loss or climate change, the ly initiated by scientists. Then policymakers and sation, especially when treaties must be drafted. les are framed as legal mandates, including the rations and conventions.
		discourses. I remember participated for the first attentively. Whether you work under the constit When it comes to in	002 that judges began participating in these r the Johannesburg Conference, where judges time. Now, judges and lawyers are listened more a are a scientist, a lawyer, or a policymaker, you utional framework of your respective country. plementing international law, it happens on lawyers and judges are essential.
		rights long before the instance, courts in India the interpretation of the	burts recognized environmental rights as human international environmental community did. For a in the 1980s and Nepal in the 1990s extended e right to life to include a healthy environment. d rights to health, education, environmental of law.
		at the United Nations ha This has led to the decl	ts of individuals like John Knox and David Boyd ve linked human rights with environmental rights. aration of the right to a healthy environment as play a significant role in this journey.

For young lawyers like you, I must mention that if your goal is to make money, this may not be the best field. However, if your aim is academic contribution or protecting the environment and your community, this is an area where you can create substantial positive change. I encourage young lawyers to study environmental law, climate change law, and related subjects. I wish you good luck.

- Interviewer : Thank you, *Shriman*. Moving to another question: Over time, Nepal's courts have been addressing increasingly complex legal disputes, such as cases involving private international law, transnational organized crime, and climate justice. With your extensive experience in diverse legal areas, how would you advise aspiring lawyers, legal professionals, and judges to better understand and resolve such disputes?
- **Justice Bhattarai** : First, you must understand the expansion and dimensions of the problems. As I mentioned earlier, what was once considered a local issue has become universal. Addressing such challenges requires studying international law.

Secondly, the compartmentalization of international law and domestic law, the wall of separation, is slowly and gradually crumbling down. Whether a monist state or a dualist state, one has to refer to international law. International law, including climate change law and human rights law is having an impact. It is percolating down to the national legal regime. Because of the fact that we have such a young constitution and a growing legal system that emphasizes recognized principles of law and justice, it takes us to comparative law and environmental law. Also, the rights incorporated in our constitution, such as the right to a clean and healthy environment, are important. It is not just clean; it is healthy. To achieve this, we need to consider many factors. It requires not just to look into facts but also to consider legal norms. The intermingling of national law and international law will be a good tool to address environmental and climate change challenges.

- **Interviewer** : So, what about other areas, for example, private international law, which is now included in our Civil Code?
- Justice Bhattarai : This area is also growing due to the increased mobility of people. There is now a significant Nepali diaspora abroad, many of whom maintain ties to Nepal. As a result, numerous cases related to adoption, child custody, property rights, and the recognition of marriages are coming to the courts. When I was a law student, private international law was an optional subject, and I did not study it. However, after becoming a judge, I realized its importance, and it has since grown significantly.

Considering Nepal's integration into the global community, our lawyers must stay informed about developments in private international law. This includes emerging fields like internet law and the intersection of science and technology with legal frameworks. These areas require

Volume 13, 2024			Kathmandu School of Law Review
		serious attention in our	legal education and research.
Interviewer	:	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	perience in Nepal's judiciary and on international forms do you believe are essential for advancing ducation in Nepal?
Justice Bhattarai	:	More transparency and promoting good governance in the work of the judiciary and other legal institutions are essential. Good governance is a big challenge in the country. Wherever you look, whether it be the local government, state government, or federal government, there are many challenges to achieving good governance. To address these issues, the court has to keep its house in order.	
		differentiated case man will take 5 or 10 years There is a direction. Th but the leaders in the j just the responsibility all the judges of the S enforce the work that I	planning process in the judiciary. Recently, hagement has been introduced in the courts. It for this new initiative to show its true impact. e judiciary has taken up the direction of reform, judiciary have to pursue it collectively. It is not of the Chief Justice; it is the responsibility of supreme Court. If we move in a planned way, has been done, and also consider the challenges people, all these factors will give the direction.
		because of private ini schools, Kathmandu Sc young talents who are the courts as lawyers a situation in another 5 or	s, in recent times, over the last 10 or 20 years, tiatives, the establishment of schools like law hool of Law, and other institutions has produced very well qualified. I am happy to see them in nd court officials. I think we will have a better to years. We will see more young talents coming es and officials, and also as law professors.
		level, good legal education prosper on the internation and the professors have developed curricula and	here is so much competition at the international ation is a prerequisite for young graduates to ional stage. I think the leaders in legal education re recognized these challenges clearly and have d strategies to address them. I am very hopeful e much better in the coming years.
Interviewer	:	B.A.LL.B. program, an three more colleges. T	ty gave affiliation to 15 colleges to offer the d Tribhuvan University has given affiliation to his means Nepal now has over 30 law schools. re on this development?
Justice Bhattarai	:	general education. In the were considered part	n is seen as a special type of education. It is not he 60s and 70s, especially in India, legal studies of the general education program. Many law Here in Nepal, appearing for LLB or B.A.LLB. ident was also possible.

But now, with the opening of the B.A.LLB. program, we have moved from considering legal studies as general education to recognizing it as a specialized field of study. So, I do not have much to say about more educational institutions being opened up, but I am more concerned about the quality-specifically, the quality of legal education.

This world is so competitive. Unless you maintain good quality, just graduating in law will not give you bread and butter. This factor has to be taken into consideration. I hope that the authorities responsible for granting permits to law schools and those involved in legal education take this factor seriously. That said, I wish them good luck.

- **Interviewer** : What unique practices and perspectives from Nepal's judiciary could serve as valuable contributions to the international legal fraternity? What do you think Nepal can contribute to the international legal fraternity through its judiciary?
- Justice Bhattarai : Two things come to my mind when you ask this.

First is the use of our own language in judicial discourse. You see, India, for a long time, continued to use English as the language for legal proceedings. Now, they are embarking on using local languages. Decisions are being translated into local languages, and proceedings are being conducted in local languages at lower courts. We already use our own language as the medium of judicial discourse. I understand that there is a flip side to this. We are less studied internationally. But be that as it may, we are serving our people more closely than courts in many other countries.

Second, in terms of access to justice, the network of courts in Nepal is much better than in our neighboring countries. We have 77 districts, each with its own court. All cases go to the district court first, then move up to the high court, and from there, appeals can be taken to the Supreme Court. The high courts and their branches are spread throughout the country. This creates a neat and organized structure for the judiciary.

Another factor that comes to mind is the flexibility we have adopted. We are an evolving system. We acknowledge this and assign importance to comparative law. Our reference to comparative law helps us examine similar situations and understand how challenges are addressed elsewhere.

This flexibility is very important for a mountainous country like Nepal. It may also serve as an example or point of interest for those studying Nepal's judicial ecosystem.

Interviewer : Regarding Nepal's judiciary, you also talked about many cases. Right now, there is already an increase in the number of cases pending in the Supreme Court. But I wanted to ask you about the increase in Public Interest Litigation in the courts. Although there is a provision for filing public interest litigation in the High Courts, the majority of cases are going to the Supreme Court. How do you see the increase in cases, especially public interest litigation?

Justice Bhattarai : It is a very interesting question. I have mixed feelings about Public Interest Litigation (PIL). Given the constitutional framework we have in place, we cannot ignore PIL petitions. Public interest petitions bring a lot of issues of public concern to the court, which makes the judiciary socially relevant. Without public interest petitions, many constitutional issues resolved by the court would never have come before the Supreme Court.

> From that point of view, I do not want to discourage PIL petitions. However, there is a rush to file PILs. For instance, if the government makes a controversial decision today, the next day, at least 10 or 15 people will file PIL petitions. These are often filed without research or in-depth study. I would prefer they take a pause, conduct proper research, and make a collective effort instead of acting solo.

> In the *Bhimsen Pokharel* case, the Supreme Court said that before coming to the Supreme Court, one should approach the concerned ministry or decision-making authority, request a correction, and only if that effort fails, file a PIL. However, this principle has not significantly influenced the filing of such cases.

> Some lawyers file PILs after conducting deep studies. For example, in the past, the FWLD (Forum for Women, Law, and Development) made significant efforts. They identified discriminatory laws through extensive research and then filed petitions on these issues. This method is commendable.

Similarly, in the case of environmental issues, a pending case in the Supreme Court involves petitions where lawyers from all seven provinces have studied excavation activities in major rivers. They have filed petitions with photographs, data, and other evidence. Such petitions help the court resolve issues effectively. PIL should be a collaborative process.

Regarding the tendency to file PILs directly with the Supreme Court instead of the High Court, I cannot say for sure why this happens. It may be because the Supreme Court is seen as the final authority, or perhaps petitioners are impressed by its past work. Regardless, it would be better to utilize the High Court first instead of bringing all cases directly to the Supreme Court.

Interviewer : Another question I had is about the development of technology. You talked about your experience as a bench officer and then as a judge. Right now, there are new innovations, including artificial intelligence. How do you compare your time with this time in terms of technology? How do you think it will be helpful for the judiciary?

Justice Bhattarai	: Technology helps a lot. I remember when I was a young officer, whad hard copies of the <i>Nepal Kanun Patrika</i> . We had to refer to manually, as there was no easy retrieval system.	
		Now, we have digital retrieval systems in place. I recall a research project I conducted at the National Law School, where I surveyed around 1,400 cases in just seven days. This would not have been possible without online research facilities.
		The Supreme Court has now installed Lexis Nexis. I am very hopeful that the digital divide will soon be bridged. For a long time, efforts were made to establish such systems. Thanks to the family members of Justice Sillu, the Supreme Court now has a functioning search engine.
		Universities also have access to e-books and online resources. These are the boons of science and technology. Life has become much easier, and the quality of work produced is much higher. The situation is more positive now.
Interviewer	:	Thank you so much <i>Shriman</i> . Thank you for your time. Before we conclude, do you have a message for law students, professors, or researchers?
Justice Bhattarai	:	My message to the Kathmandu School of Law, its faculty, and the students associated with it is one of appreciation. As a primary legal institution, establishing a legal institution by just a few dedicated people is a challenging task.
		Kathmandu School of Law has made a name for itself, not just locally, but regionally and internationally. This is because of the dedication of the faculty members- Professor Yubaraj Sangroula, Geeta Pathak Sangroula, and many other faculty members- and the very brightest students produced by the school.
		Many students have received fellowships at renowned universities. Some have returned to Nepal, while others have excelled abroad. The dissemination of legal knowledge and the in-depth research conducted by Kathmandu School of Law in different areas of law and justice are commendable.
		I congratulate the Kathmandu School of Law community, its teachers, and its students. You have a very bright future ahead. Make the best use of your time at Kathmandu School of Law, and you will come out with flying colors. Your future is very bright.